

\ C.A.No. 2071 OF 1999
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
.PL55

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

IA No. 1 in
Civil Appeal No. 2071 of 1999@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Commissioner of Custom, Calcutta ...Appellant (s)

Versus

M/s. Gama Product (India), Punjab ...Respondent(s)

O R D E R ~@@
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

The inordinate delay of 364 days has not been explained and it is surprising that the time taken for drafting a petition took more than 10 months. The application for condonation of delay stands rejected. Consequently, the appeal also stands dismissed.

.SP1

.....J@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
(G.B. PATTANAIAK)@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

New Delhi;
November 29, 2001

.....J@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
(N. SANTOSH HEGDE)@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

.PA
.PL65
ITEM No.2

Court No. 3

SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA No 1 In Civil Appeal No.2071/1999@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

(From the judgement and order dated 01/08/1997 in Appeal No. C/V-204/96 and Order No. A-919/97 of The CENTRAL EXCISE & GOLD APPLLETTE TRIBUNAL, Eastern Bench, Calcutta)

COMMNR.OF CUSTOM,CALCUTTA Appellant (s)

VERSUS

M/S.GAMA PRODUCT (INDIA), PUNJAB Respondent (s)

(for condonation of delay in filing
appeal and office report)

Date : 29/11/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.B. PATTANAIK
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE

For Appellant (s) Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, ASG
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Rr-Ex-Parte, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J
.SP2

The appeal stands dismissed in terms of the signed
order.

.SP1

(J.S. Rawat)
Court Master

(Prem Prakash)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)