

J

C.A.No. 4452 OF 2000
ITEM No.108

COURT NO. 10

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4452 OF 2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

State of Haryana ...APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

Gurbax Singh Kohli ...RESPONDENT(S)

(With office report)

Date : 28/01/2003 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVARAJ V. PATIL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

For Appellant (s) Mr. Neeran Kr. Jain Adv.
Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mrs. Lalita Kaushik, Adv. (N.P.)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Heard learned counsel for the appellant for ten minutes.

The appeal is dismissed as having become infructuous.

.SP1

(R.K. Dhawan) (Shelly Sengupta)@@
AA
Court Master Court Master@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

(Signed order is placed on the file)

.PA

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.4452 OF 2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

State of Haryana Appellant(s)

versus

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T...J.
.SP2

Heard learned counsel for the appellant. No one appears for the respondent.

The Order dated 10-7-2000 reads thus:

.....L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T...J
.SP1

"Learned counsel for the respondent states that the respondent has already received the benefit as result of the judgment of the High Court and the matter has become infructuous. Counsel for the petitioner seeks time to seek instructions. Adjourned by four weeks."

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

The suit filed by the respondent herein was decreed by the High Court by the impugned judgment reversing the judgment and decree passed by the courts below. The subject matter relating to the validity of the termination order of the respondent after his re-employment for the period of one year or till he attains the age of 62 years. Having regard to the passage of time and looking to the order dated 10.7.2000, ...2/-

.PA

in our view in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, nothing more is required to be done. Hence the appeal is dismissed as having become infructuous.

.SP1

.....J
(SHIVARAJ V. PATIL)

.....J
(ARIJIT PASAYAT)

New Delhi,
January 28, 2002.