

ITEM NO.3

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 6009/2009

AVTAR SINGH & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

UNION TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH

Respondent(s)

(with interim relief and office report)

WITH

C.A. No. 6010/2009
(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 6011/2009
(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 6012/2009
(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 6013/2009
(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 7294/2012
(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 7563/2012
(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 9211/2012
(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 15890/2013
(With Office Report)

Date : 09/01/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Ravindra Bana,Adv.

Mr. Balbir Singh Gupta,Adv.

Mr. Shish Pal Laler, Adv.

Contd..2/-

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Shree Pal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sangram S. Saron, Adv.
Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.
Mr. Ritesh Khatri, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The office report indicates that the appellants in C.A. Nos. 6009/2009, 6012/2009 and 9211/2012 have filed the statement of case.

The office report proceeds to state that in C.A. Nos. 6010/2009, 6011/2009, 6013/2009, 7294/2012 and 7563/2012 the learned Counsel for the parties have failed to file the statement of case although they were directed to do so by order dated 17.10.2014 of this court. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules, 2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent who has entered appearance does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same. In view of the rule position explained above no further opportunity for filing the statement of case is warranted to be given to the parties. Viewed thus, the matters shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

SLP(C) No. 15890/2013

Fresh steps for the service of notice to the unserved respondent no.1 shall be taken by the learned counsel for the

Contd..3/-

Item No. 3

- 3 -

petitioners within a period of three weeks. Dasti, in addition, is permitted to be served through the learned Counsel for the Union of India.

List again on 18.03.2015.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

PS