

**SECTION-III-A  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 9236, 9237, 9238, 9279 AND 9280 OF 2013**

**COMMR. OF INCOME TAX, NAGPUR & ANR.**

**....APPELLANTS**

**VERSUS**

**RAJAGOPALA RAO (D) TR. LRS.**

**....RESPONDENT**

**OFFICE REPORT ON PRE-FINAL HEARING MATTER**

The office report is prepared as per circular No. F. 41/Jul./2008 dated 17<sup>th</sup> December, 2008.

**CA NOS. 9236, 9237 AND 9280 OF 2013**

- (A) There is sole respondent in all the matters above mentioned and is represented through Counsel.
- (B) Not applicable.
- (C) Not applicable.
- (D) No application for substitution is pending.
- (F) Counsel for both the parties have not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far, in the matter as per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1) (2), it shall be presumed that both the parties does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.
- (F) Not applicable.

**CA NOS. 9238 AND 9279 OF 2013**

- (A) There is sole respondent in both the matters above mentioned and is represented through Counsel.
- (B) Not applicable.
- (C) Not applicable.
- (D) No application for substitution is pending.
- (E) Counsel for the Appellant has filed Statement of Case but Counsel for the Respondent has not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far, in the matter

as per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that the Respondent does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.

(F) Not applicable.

The matters above-mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court for Orders.

DATED THIS THE 1ST DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2015.

**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

COPY TO:-

Ms. Anil Katiyar, Advocate

Mr. P. N. Gupta, Advocate

**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

rm4