

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).22202/2008

AVTAR SINGH & ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE BANK OF INDIA & ORS Respondent(s)

(With office report )

Date: 01/09/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mrs Nisha Bagchi, Adv.  
Mr. Vikas Mehta,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr Abhishek Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay Kapur,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

It is unfortunate that notice could not be served by the State Bank of India to its own employees though there is specific instructions to serve it by the Bank itself.

By affidavit dated 2.8.2010, it has been disclosed that notice to several respondents were send by registered post as well as by speed post, since they are residing at different places.

However, it is difficult to realize that why the Bank could not serve notices to its own employees with their next salary slip or with payment of salary through respective

Item No.10

branch authorities instead of sending by registered post or

speed post.

It is known fact that non-service to such respondents by this Court includes process through the registered post and therefore, sending notices by registered post would not serve the purpose.

Respondent No.1, Bank is specifically directed to confirm service on the respondents who are serving under it by effecting service through the concerned Branch Manager, may be with the next salary slip or in fact at the earliest if salary is to be paid on any given date.

For the rest of the respondents who are retired from the services of respondent No.1, Bank, it has been stated that out of 35 such respondents, respondent no.1, Bank, has disclosed addresses of 26 respondents.

Registry is directed to issue notice to such 26 respondents at the earliest without waiting for the further details of the remaining 9 respondents.

Respondent No.1 has to provide the detail addresses of the remaining respondents for whom in statement with affidavit they have disclosed in the column of address that 'not yet found'; on or before 24.9.2010 on affidavit.

For confirmation notice on respondents whose addresses are provided, list again on 19.10.2010.

-3-

Item No.10

Petitioner is permitted to pay additional process fee with additional information of unserved respondents at the earliest, once their detail addresses are disclose on record by the Bank.

Registry has to issue notice upon such respondent at the earliest on receipt of such details and process fee

In that case, registry has to issue notice immediately to the remaining unserved respondents.

(S.G.SHAH)  
Registrar

hj