

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (CRL.) NO. 227 OF 2013

FIROZ TANASHAH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.& ANR.

Respondent(s)

ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The petitioner's case is that his wife is suffering from kidney ailment since 2009 and she has been advised kidney transplantation and the petitioner has accordingly filed an application for grant of parole for a month, but the same has not been disposed of by the authorities.

We direct that the competent authority of the State of Uttar Pradesh will consider and pass orders on the application for parole filed by the petitioner within one month from the date of filing of certified copy of this order.

The writ petition stands allowed accordingly.

.....J.  
(A.K. PATNAIK)

.....J.  
(FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA)

NEW DELHI,  
MARCH 07, 2014

ITEM NO.8

COURT NO.4

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 227 OF 2013

FIROZ TANASHAH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.& ANR.  
(With office report)

Respondent(s)

Date: 07/03/2014 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Rishi Malhotra, Adv. (A.C.)

For Respondent(s) Ms. Pragati Neekhara, Adv.  
Ms. Vanshaja Shukla, Adv.

Ms. Rajshri Dubey, Adv.  
Mr. Aniruddha Mayee, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The writ petition is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(G. SUDHAKARA RAO)

(SHARDA KAPOOR)

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

(SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE)