C.A.No. 7812 OF 1997
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T....R
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T....R

ITEM No.110 COURT No. 1 SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No.7812 of 1997@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

M/s. Daulat Ram Industries Appellant

VERSUS

The Collector of Customs, New Delhi Respondent

(With office report)

Date : 04/04/2002 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.M. DHARMADHIKARI

For Appellant (s): Mr. Joseph Vellapally,Sr.Adv.
Ms. J.S. Wad,Adv.
Mr. Ashish Wad,Adv.
Ms. Niharika Bahl,Adv.
Ms. Tamali Wad,Adv.

For Respondent(s): Mr. T.L.V. Iyer,Sr.Adv.
Mr. G.V. Rao,Adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I..T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T....J

Since the stage at which notice was issued on the special leave petition, the stand of the appellants has been that the respondents have accepted their contention that electrical resistance alloy is not stainless steel. Time to enable the respondents to take instructions in this behalf has been granted from time to time. On the last occasion, on 20th March, 2002, this was recorded once again and it was stated that no further adjournment on this ground would be available. Even so, learned counsel for the respondents tells us that he has no instructions. We could, in the circumstances, proceed upon the basis that what the appellants say is correct since,despite all the time that has passed, the respondents cannot controvert it. Even so, one further adjournment we shall give.

Adjourned to 11th April, 2002, upon which date, if instructions have not been received till then, we shall proceed upon the basis indicated above.

(T.I. Rajput)
Court Master

(Shelly Sengupta)
Court Master