

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).18286/2003

(From the judgement and order dated 04/04/2003 in WP No. 2994/1999 of The  
HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

AIRPORT AUTHORITY OF INDIA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

INDIAN AIRPORTS EMPLOYEES UNION & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay,permission to submit additional document(s),Intervention  
/Impleadment and  
prayer for interim relief and office report ))

Date: 21/07/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. V.B. Joshi,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Indra Jaisingh, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Bharat Sangal,Adv.

Mr. R.R. Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Samyadip Chatterji, Adv.

Mr. L. Roshmani, Adv.

Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Vipin M. Benjamin, Adv.

Ms. Aparna Bhat ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The High Court of Judicature at Bombay, relying upon its earlier decision in W.P. No. 917/95 decided on 9th of October, 2001, has issued a Mandamus directing the Central Government to make a Reference to the Industrial Tribunal for adjudication on the following points:

(i) Whether the contract between the Airport

Authority of India and the respondent contractor, is sham and bogus and is a camouflage to deprive the workers concerned in the petition of benefits available to permanent workmen of Airport Authority of India?

(ii) Whether the workers concerned in the petition should be declared as permanent workers of Airport Authority of India?

(iii) What are the wages and consequential benefits to be paid to the workers concerned in the petition?"

Counsel for the parties seek time to verify as to whether any appeal/special leave petition was filed in this Court impugning the aforesaid order in WP No. 917/95 and, if so, with what result.

Adjourned by six weeks.

(J.S. Rawat)

AR-cum-PS

(Kanwal Singh)

Court Master