

ITEM NO.104

COURT NO.13

SECTION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 1195/2010

SURINDER KAUR

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for stay)

WITH

Crl.A. No. 1187/2010

Crl.A. No. 1197/2010

Crl.A. No. 1198/2010

With Office Report)

Crl.A. No. 1185/2010

(With Office Report)

Crl.A. No. 1188/2010

Date : 27/08/2014 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

For Appellant(s) Mr. Rishi Malhotra ,Adv.

Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Adv.
Mr. Prem Malhotra ,Adv.

Mr. R.K. Kapoor, Adv.
Ms. Shiwani Mahipal, v
Mr. Rajat Kapoor, Adv.
Mr. Anis Ahmed Khan ,Adv.

Mr. Sudhir Walia, Adv.
Ms. Niharika Ahluwalia, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Atrey ,Adv.

Mr. Rajat Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Rajiv Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Dinesh Verma, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand ,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Nupur Chaudhary, Adv.
For Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta ,Adv. (N.P.)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard Mr. Rishi Malhotra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant-accused in Criminal Appeal No. 1195 of 2010 and connected matters.

When the matter is being heard we noticed that there is no representation on behalf of the State of Haryana. The name of Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, learned counsel, who is representing the State of Haryana, was flashed on the Display Board by the Court Master. Pursuant to such flashing, Mr. Gupta instructed Ms. Nupur Chaudhary, learned counsel, to appear in the Court. She appeared in the Court sans any appeal papers. She made a request to adjourn the matters on the ground that the counsel, Mr. Gupta, is held up in Court No. 11 of this Court. We decline the request made in this behalf. We further proceeded to hear the matter. We wanted certain clarifications in the course of hearing. At this stage, Ms. Chaudhary, learned counsel, again made a request for adjournment which shows that the matters which are being listed or being heard by this Court finally, there is no assistance at all from the counsel who is appearing on behalf of the State of Haryana. This lapse has resulted in obstructing hearing and prevented the Court from discharging its duties. Therefore, it would be just and proper for this Court to require the Chief Secretary of the State of Haryana to take appropriate corrective measures to ensure that

counsel for the State remains present with papers when cases are called out for hearing and file an affidavit before the next date, failing which the Chief Secretary may be required to remain present in person.

List again on 3.09.2014.

(S.K. RAKHEJA)
COURT MASTER

(SAROJ SAINI)
COURT MASTER