

SECTION XII
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8625, 8626, 8627 AND 8630 of 2009

State of Tamil Nadu ..Appellant
Versus
P. Arivudainambi & Anr. etc. etc. ...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned have been filed against the Judgment and Order dated 28th April, 2006 of the High Court Judicature at Madras in Writ Petition No. 39279 of 2005, 11907, 11908 of 2006 and dated 22nd June, 2006 in Writ Petition No. 19029 of 2006 respectively.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8625 OF 2009

It is submitted that there are two respondents. Respondent No. 1 is represented through Mr. S. Balaji, Advocate. Respondent No. 2 has also been served by the Registry at the special leave petition stage, but no one has entered appearance so far.

Service of notice is complete.

Original record has been returned to the High Court as per circular dated 4th December, 2014.

It is further submitted that counsel for the appellant has filed statement of case, but counsel for the respondent No. 1 has not filed statement of case and in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where a party does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the party does not desire to file statement of case and has adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of case.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8626 OF 2009

It is submitted that there is sole respondent, who is represented by Mr. R.A. Perumal, Advocate.

Service of notice is complete.

Original record has been returned to the High Court as per circular dated 4th December, 2014.

It is further submitted that counsel for the parties have filed statement of cases.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8627 OF 2009

It is submitted that there is sole respondent, who is represented by Mr. R.A. Perumal, Advocate.

Service of notice is complete.

Original record has been returned to the High Court as per circular dated 4th December, 2014.

It is further submitted that counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case and in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where a party does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the party does not desire to file statement of case and so far as the appellant is concerned, it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of case.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8630 OF 2009

It is submitted that there are two respondents and both are served by the Registry at Special Leave Petition stage, but no one has entered appearance so far.

Service of notice is complete.

Original record has been returned to the High Court as per circular dated 4th December, 2014.

It is further submitted that counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case and in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where a party does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the party does not desire to file statement of case and so far as the appellant is concerned, it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of case.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court with this office report as pre final hearing.

Dated this, the 7th day of May, 2015

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO: Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Advocate.
Mr. S. Balaji, Advocate.
Mr. R.A. Perumal, Advocate
Mr. B.Balaji, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

hp