

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 8626/2009

STATE OF TAMIL NADU

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

VASANTHI VEERASEKARAN

Respondent(s)

WITH

C.A. No. 8625/2009

C.A. No. 8627/2009

C.A. No. 8630/2009

(With Office Report)

Date : 08/05/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Mr. Jayant Patel, adv.  
Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Adv.  
  
Mr. T. Harish Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. B. Balaji, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Enakshi Mukhopadhyay, adv.  
Mr. R. Ayyam Perumal, Adv.  
  
Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E RCivil Appeal No.8625/2009

The office report indicates that the Ld.counsel for the appellant has already filed the statement of case. The office report further is that the Ld.counsel for the appearing respondents has failed to file the statement of case although he has been notified to do so by letter dated 04.06.2012 of this Registry.

Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

Civil Appeal No.8626/2009

The office report is that the Id.counsel for the parties have already filed the statement of case. Viewed in that context, the matter, shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court on its own turn.

Civil Appeal No.8627 & 8630/2009

The office report is that Id.counsel for the appellant and the Id.counsel for the respondents have failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by letter dated 04.06.2012 of this Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case,and does not desire to file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)  
Registrar

**SB**