

U

ITEM NO.22(CHAMBER)

COURT NO.9

SECTION XIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA Nos. 7-8 & 9-10 in

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).12811-12812/2010

(From the judgment and order dated 06/07/2009 in WA No.1199/2008 and WA No.1201/2008 of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD)

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

K.SUDHAMA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With application for condonation of delay in filing application for substituted service, application for direction for substitution of service by way of publication and office report)

Date: 09/11/2012 These Applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK
[IN CHAMBERS]

For Petitioner(s) Dr. (Ms.) Laxmi Shastri, Adv.
Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. T. Kanaka Durga, Adv.(NP)
Ms. Anu Gupta, Adv.(NP)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay in filing the applications for substituted service in respect of unserved respondent in SLP(C) No. 12812 of 2010 by way of publication in two newspapers and depositing costs of '2,000/- is condoned.

Since the respondent No. 10 has already entered appearance through an Advocate, the notice need not be effected by way of publication on respondent No. 10.

| Office has reported that affidavit of service in respect of respondent Nos. |
| 30 and 31 in SLP(C) No. 12812 of 2010 though filed is not clear with regard |
| to the proof of service. The petitioner will file either a better affidavit |
| showing service effected on respondent Nos 30 and 31 failing which the |
| petitioner will take fresh steps for service on those two respondents. |
| Let this be done within four weeks. |

| [KALYANI GUPTA]
| COURT MASTER

| | [SNEH LATA SHARMA]
| | COURT MASTER