

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 935 OF 2000

STATE OF T.N.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

K. THANGAVELU

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 18/10/2006 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.P. NAOLEKAR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. V.G. Pragasam,Adv.

Mr. S. Vallinayagam,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S. Nanda Kumar,Adv.

Mr. Satish Kumar,Adv.

Ms. Renuka,Adv.

Mr. M. Samy,Adv.

Mr. L.K. Pandey,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The appeal is dismissed.

[Alka Dudeja]

Court Master

[Om Prakash]

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.935 OF 2000

State of Tamil Nadu

...Appellant(s)

Versus

K. Thangavelu

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In the facts and circumstances, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order of acquittal rendered by the High Court leaving the question of law open to be decided in an appropriate case.

The appeal fails and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J.

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J.

[P.P. NAOLEKAR]

New Delhi,

October 18, 2006.