

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SUNIL THOMAS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).  
19595-19596/2010

AJITSINGH & ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VASANTH RAO G.DESHMUKH &ORS

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief )

Date: 25/11/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Amit Dhingra,Adv.  
M/S. Dua Associates,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. S.N. Bhat,Adv.  
Mr. Dasharath T.M.,Adv.  
Mr. V.N. Raghupathy ,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks dasti against Respondent Nos. 5 and 25 in SLP(C)No.19595/2010. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks dasti against respondent No. 6 in SLP(C)No.19596/2010 who is also the Respondent No.5 in SLP(C)No. 19595/2010. The learned counsel for the petitioner has filed a substitution application. Office has raised an objection that though in the affidavit it is averred that Respondent No.10 in SLP(C)No.19595//2010 is respondent No.7 in SLP(C)No.19596/2010 there seems to be difference in the name. The learned counsel clarifies that it is only a typographical error and on that deponent has averred that both are the same person.

..2/-

Item No. 10

- 2 -

The learned counsel further clarifies that mistake pointed out in the Office Report regarding Respondent No. 13 and respondent No.18 is in correct since the averment in the letter is that Respondent No. 13 in SLP(C)No.19595/2010 is the same respondent No. 18 in SLP(C)No.19596/2010 This is recorded.

In the light of above averment as well as on the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner office may process the I.A.. The I.A. for deletion may be listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber. Await orde4rs.

List the matter on 21.1.2014.

| ((SUNIL THOMAS) |

