

SUPR EME COUR T OF I ND I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).20770-20773/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 07/11/2008 in TC No. 64/2002 &
TC No. 65/2002 & TC No. 66/2002 & TC No. 67/2002 of The HIGH COURT
OF PATNA)

COMMR.OF CUSTOMS,PREVENTIVE

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KAPILDEO PRASAD AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP)

Date: 18/07/2011 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Arjun Kumar, Adv.
Mrs Anil Katiyar,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. G. Raman, Adv.
Mr. T. Mahipal,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

ORDER

Learned Advocate Mr. Arjun Kumar appearing on behalf of
respondent Union of India is present, he is saying that he is instructed
by the Central Agency for the respondent.

Unfortunately, Union of India is not the respondent but
Commission of Customs (Preventive) is petitioner whereas respondent is
private party.

This is an unfortunate situation before this court that
repeatedly advocates appearing before this court are not aware about
the correct position, even they are not aware that for which litigant
they are appearing.

-2-

Item No. 20

Since sufficient time has been granted to the petitioner to
take appropriate steps, hence no further time can be granted by this
court. In view of the position as disclosed above, where advocates are
not aware about the correct position and they are not able to take steps
to confirm the service, list the matter before Hon'ble Judge in Chambers
for non-prosecution.

(S.G. Shah)
Registrar

ns