

**SECTION XII**

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4068-4069 of 2007**

AND

**INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.**

(Application for restoration)

AND

**INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.**

(Application for substitution of deceased respondent Nos. 1 and 2)

AND

**INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.**

(Application for condonation of delay)

AND

**INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.**

(Application for setting aside abatement)

AND

**INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.**

(Application for extension of time)

Pappu & Ors. . . . .Appellants

Versus

Kaliannan & Ors. . . . .Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT**

The office report on default in the matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Judge-in-chamber on 18<sup>th</sup> July, 2016, when His Lordship was pleased to pass the following order: -

**"Four weeks' time is granted to the learned counsel for the appellants to comply with the Office Report dated 16<sup>th</sup> July, 2016, failing which, the appeal shall stand dismissed without further reference to the Court."**

Accordingly, matters were updated as dismissed on 16<sup>th</sup> August, 2016, i.e. expiry of four weeks as Counsel for the appellant has not taken steps.

It is submitted that Ms. Revathy Raghavan, Counsel for the appellant has on 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2016, filed application for setting aside order dated 18<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 regarding dismissal and restoration and another application for extension of time for compliance of order dated 18<sup>th</sup> July, 2016, application for substitution in respect of deceased respondent Nos. 1 and 2, application for condonation of delay and fresh address of respondent Nos. 3 and 5 but all the applications were defective for various reasons and Counsel has been informed accordingly.

It is further submitted that in reply to this Registry's letter dated 23<sup>rd</sup> September, 2016, Ms. Revathy Raghavan, Counsel has again on 29<sup>th</sup> September, 2016, filed a letter and fresh application for substitution in respect of deceased Respondent Nos. 1 and 2, application for setting aside abatement and condonation of delay as the same is barred by time by 2597 days in respect of deceased Respondent No. 1 and 4232 days in respect of deceased respondent No.2 but there are also defective which was communicated to advocate vide letter dated 21<sup>st</sup> October, 2016. The Advocate has on 10<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 filed another application for extension of time. The Advocate has not cured the defects in the applications despite repeated requests.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court with this office report.

Dated this the 19<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2017.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to: Mrs. Revathy Raghavan, Advocate.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ac