

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).18580/2005
(From the judgement and order dated 24/08/2005 in CMWP No.36685/2004
of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

M/S BAJAJ HINDUSTAN LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S MONNET SUGAR LTD. AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment, exemption from filing
O.T.,impleading party,permission to file additional documents and with prayer for interim relief)

(I.A. Nos.3-12 - Application for impleadment and intervention)

WITH S.L.P.(C) NO. 18763 of 2005

(With prayer for interim relief)

S.L.P.(C) NO. 19127 of 2005

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 19/09/2005 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR

For Appearing

parties:

Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr.Adv.

Mr. P.H. Parekh,Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Parekh,Adv.

Ms. Meenakshi Ray,Adv.

Mr. Pradeep Rai,Adv.

for M/s. P.H. Parekh & Co.

Mr. Virendra Bhatia, Advocate General

Mr. Rakesh Dwivedi, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Kumar Dubey,Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Bhatia,Adv.

Ms. Rashmi Singh,Adv.

Ms. Savitri Pandey,Adv.

Mr. Kamlendra Mishra,Adv.

Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, A.S.G.

Mr. S.W.A. Qadri,Adv.

Ms. Varuna Bhandari Gugnani,Adv.

Mr. V.K. Verma,Adv.

Contd....2/-

-2-

Ms. Anuradha Dutt,Adv.

Ms. Vijayalakshmi Menon,Adv.

Mr. Dushyant A. Dave, Sr.Adv.

Mrs. Gauri Rasgotra,Adv.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar,Adv.

M/s. Khaitan & Co.

Mr. Sanjeev Anand,Adv.

Mr. Jayant Bhushan, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Praveen Kumar,Adv.

Mr. Uday U. Lalit, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Prasenjit Keswani,Adv.

Ms. Prerna Mehta,Adv.

Mrs. V.D. Khanna,Adv.

Mr. F.S. Nariman, Sr.Adv.

Dr. A.M. Singhvi, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Gopal Jain,Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Agarwal,Adv.

Dr. A.M. Singhvi, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Gopal Jain,Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Agrawal,Adv.

Mr. Rishi Agrawala,Adv.

Mr. Manu Krishnan,Adv.

Mr. E.C. Agrawala,Adv.

Mr. F.S. Nariman, Sr.Adv.

Mr. C.A. Sundram, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Naveen Kumar,Adv.

Mr. Gopal Jain,Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Agrawal,Adv.

Mr. Rishi Agrawala,Adv.

Mr. Manu Krishnan,Adv.

Mr. E.C. Agrawala,Adv.

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Gopal Jain,Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Agrawal,Adv.

Mr. Rishi Agrawala,Adv.

Mr. Manu Krishnan,Adv.

Mr. E.C. Agrawala,Adv.

Mr. Ashok H. Desai, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Snehasish Mukherjee,Adv.

Mr. Parijat Sinha,Adv.

Contd...3/-

-3-

Mr. Sudhir Chandra, Sr.Adv.

Mr. S.C. Ghosh,Adv.

Mr. Satish Vig,Adv.

Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr.Adv.

Mr. R.F. Nariman, Sr.Adv.

Mr. R.N. Karanjawala,Adv.

Mr. Amit Sibal,Adv.

Mr. Akhil Sibal,Adv.

Ms. Niharika Behl,Adv.

Mrs. Manik Karanjawala,Adv.

Mr. Syed Shahid Hussain Rizvi,Adv.

Ms. Indu Malhotra,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard.

All the applications for impleadment/intervention are allowed.

Leave granted. Printing dispensed with. The appeals shall be heard

on the SLP paper books. Parties may file additional documents, if any, within

four weeks. Original record shall be requisitioned.

At the request of learned counsel for the parties, these matters shall be

listed for hearing in the 3rd week of November, 2005. In the meantime, the

pleadings shall be completed.

Stay operation of the High Court's judgment until further orders.

d.....4/-

Cont

Section 15 of The U.P. Sugarcane (Regulation of Supply and Purchase) Act,

1953 read with Rule 22 of The U.P. Sugarcane (Regulation of Supply and

Purchase) Rules, 1954, fix or assign or reserve the areas for the various sugar mills entitled to be considered. It goes without saying that the parameters

contained in Rule 22 shall be kept in view by the Cane Commissioner while

taking a decision.

Learned counsel for the State of U.P. stated that to avoid inconvenience to the existing mills, supply shall be ensured according to their needs, in the background of Rule 22.

(Neena Verma)

Court Master

(Vijay Aggarwal)

Court Master