

ITEM NO.303

COURT NO.3

SECTION XV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 5077/2006

RAYMOND LTD. & ANR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

TUKARAM TANAJI MANDHARE & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(with appln(s) for intervention and interim relief and office report)

WITH

C.A. No. 10195/2010(with Office Report)

Date : 25/07/2016 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

For Appellant(s) Mr. Shekhar Naphade, Sr. Adv.
In CA 5077/2006 Ms. Meena Doshi, Adv.
 Ms. Jayashree Wad, Adv.
 Mr. Ashish Wad, Adv.
 Mrs. Paromita Majumdar, Adv.
 Ms. Jaya Khanna, Adv.
 for M/s. J. S. Wad & Co.

For Appellant(s) Mr. Satyajit A Desai, Adv.
In CA 10195/2010 Ms. Anagha S. Desai, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. K.K. Venugopal, Sr. Adv.
No.1 in CA 10195 Mr. Guru Krishna Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Of 2010 Mr. Devashish Bharuka, Adv.
 Ms. Vaibhav Niti, Adv.
 Mr. Ravi Bharuka, Adv.
 Ms. Arpita Bishnoi, Adv.
 Ms. Shraddha Deshmukh, Adv.

Mr. Vinay Navare, Adv.
Mr. Naresh Kumar, Adv.

for Applicant in Mr. Sanjay Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
IA 1/2016 in CA Ms. Aparna Bhat, Adv.
5077/2006 Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Issue notice in IA No. 1 of 2016 in Civil Appeal No. 5077 of 2006.

Learned counsel for the appellants in Civil Appeal No. 5077 of 2006 states, that he strongly opposes the instant application for intervention. He seeks a short adjournment, so as to enable him to file reply to the application.

Prayer is allowed.

Reply be filed within two weeks from today. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within one week thereafter.

Post for hearing after three weeks.

(Renuka Sadana)
Court Master

(Parveen Kumar)
AR-cum-PS