

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6586 OF 2005

RASULSAHEB NABISAHEB NAWAZ(D)BYLRS.&ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

AMANBI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report )

Date: 03/08/2006 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. G.V. Chandrashekar, Adv.

Ms.Anjana Chandrashekar,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh,Adv.

Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv.

Ms. Punam Kumari, Adv.

Mr. Naresh Kr. Gaur, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

( RAVI P. VERMA ) ( ANAND  
SINGH ) Court Master Court  
Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6586 OF 2005

RASULSAHEB NABISAHEB NAWAZ (D) APPEL  
LANT(S)

BY LRS. & ORS.

Versus

AMANBI & ORS. RESPO  
NDENT(S)

O R D E R

Having heard learned counsel for the appellant at length, we see no

reasons to interfere with the Judgment of the High Court. We, however, clarify that

the Deputy Commissioner while considering the question of confirmation of sale

under Section 177 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act of 1964 and the proviso

thereto, shall do so, independently in accordance with law uninfluenced by any

observation whatsoever made by the trial Court or High Court. The Deputy

Commissioner shall endeavour to pass necessary orders on the question of confirmation of sale within six months.

Subject to the aforesaid observation, this appeal, being devoid of merit, is dismissed.

.....J.

( H.K. SEMA )

New Delhi;

.....J.

August 03, 2006.

( R.V. RAVEENDRAN )