

IT E M NO.1 2

COURT NO.9

S E C T I O N XV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../ 2 0 0 7
[CC 7 5 2 8 - 7 5 3 0 / 2 0 0 7]

(From the judgement and order dated 2 0 / 0 4 / 2 0 0 1 in L P A Nos.8 5- 8 7 / 2 0 0 0
& dated 1 9 / 0 3 / 2 0 0 7 in CR Nos.4 4 / 2 0 0 1 , 4 5 / 2 0 0 1 , 4 6 / 2 0 0 1 @ L P A N
os.8 7 ,
8 5 & 8 6 / 2 0 0 0 of The HIGH COURT OF JH A R K H A N D AT R A N C H I)

DAMODA R VAL L E Y C O R P N .

Petitioner(s)

V E R S U S

S T A T E O F B I H A R (N O W J H A R K H A N D) & O R S .
)

Respondent(s)

[With I.A.No. 1- 3 - appln(s). for c/delay in filing S L P and
office report]

Date: 2 7 / 0 8 / 2 0 0 7 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

C O R A M :

H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E T A R U N C H A T T E R J E E
H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E D A L V E E R B H A N D A R I

For Petitioner(s) Mr. J a n a r a n j a n Das, Adv.
Mr. Sweta ketu Mishra, Adv.
Mrs. S.R. Mohanty, Adv.

U P O N hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In view of the fact that review applications were pending before the
High Court, delay is condoned.

We do not find any reason to entertain these Special Leave Petitions.

We find from the impugned judgment of the Division Bench of the
High Court that the order of the learned Single Judge was affirmed and
thereafter a direction has been made to the petitioner- Corporation to take
a decision for regularis ation of the respondents- work men in accordance
with law.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that
since the judgment of the learned Single Judge was confirmed, the

2

direction for taking a decision for regularis ation will be empty formality.
S L P (C) Nos...../2 0 0 7 [CC 7 5 2 8 - 7 5 3 0 / 0 7] (Contd.)

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and in view of
the fact that the Division Bench has directed the authorities to take a
decision on the regularis ation of the respondents, we make it clear that
such consideration by the Corporation should be without relying on the
affirm ation of the judgment of the Single Judge by the Division Bench of
the High Court.

Subject to the above modification, the Special Leave Petitions are
dismissed.

)
(Subhash Chander)

Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora

Court Master