

ITEM NO.1
(PART-HEARD)

COURT NO.11

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).17122-17125/2010
(From the judgement and order dated 31/05/2010 in WA No. 1922/2010 & WA No. 1923/2010 & WA No. 1924/2010 & WA No. 1934/2010 of The HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE)

NARASIMHA MURTHY D.R.& ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNDER SEC.DEPT.OF HEAL.&FAML.WELF.& ORS. Respondent(s)
(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.,vacating stay,permission to file additional documents and prayer for interim relief)
(for final disposal)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 16323-16336 of 2011
SLP(C) NO. 16350-16357 of 2011
(With appln.(s) for deletion of proforma respondents and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 17153-17156 of 2010
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

W.P(C) NO. 339 of 2011
(With appln.(s) for stay and directions and exemption from filing O.T. and permission to file addl.documents and office report)
[AS FIRST ITEM]

Date: 22/11/2011 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Petitioner(s) Mr. E.C. Vidya Sagar,Adv.
Mr.Brahmajeet Mishra, Adv.
Mr.Brajesh Verma, Adv.

Mr.Sunil Gupta, Sr.Adv.
Mr.Tanmaya Agarwala,Adv.
Mr.Sharan Gouda Patil, Adv.
Mr.Shirish Deshpande, Adv.
Mr.Abhishek Sharma, Adv.
For Mr.Ashok Kumar Gupta-II,Adv.

: 2 :

Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, Adv.

Mr. Nachiketa Joshi, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Uttara Babbar,Adv.

Mr. S.N. Bhat ,Adv

Ms. Anitha Shenoy ,Adv

Mrs.Mahalakshmi Pavani, Adv.
Mr.G.Balaji, Adv.
Mr.Mukesh Kumar Singh, Adv.

for M/S.Mahalakshmi Balaji & Co. ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Mr.Sunil Gupta, learned senior counsel for the petitioners resumed his arguments at 10.35 a.m. and concluded at 12.30 p.m. Thereafter Ms.Anitha Shenoy, learned counsel for the State of Karnataka started her arguments and argued upto 3.25 p.m. Thereafter Mr.S.N.Bhat, learned counsel for the University argued for about 5 minutes. It was thereafter Ms.Mahalakshmi Pavani, learned counsel for the respondents started her arguments and was on her legs, when the Court rose for the day, leaving the matter as part-heard.

(G.V.Ramana)
Court Master

(Sharda Kapoor)
Court Master