

¶,  
SLP(C)No. 19729 OF 1998  
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp  
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R  
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp  
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

ITEM No. 1B  
(for Orders)

Court No. 1

SECTION XIV,  
XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

S.L.P. (C) NO. 19729 of 1998@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Bhola Nath Sharma (Dead) by LRs. & Anr.

Petitioners

VERSUS

Union of India & Anr.  
with

Respondent

SLP(C) Nos. 20018/98, 6791, 13787, 14061/99, 20996, 21004,  
21000, 20998, 21003, 19747, 19748/2000, and SLP(C) 705/2001

Date : 19/09/2001 These Petitions were called on for Orders today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.T. THOMAS  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.C. LAHOTI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. VARIAVA

For appealing parties:

Mr. Dhruv Mehta, adv.  
Mr. S K Mehta, adv.  
  
Ms. Indu Malhotra, adv.  
  
Mr. K C Jain, adv.  
Mr. E C Agrawala, adv.  
  
Mr. P N Gupta, adv.  
  
Ms. Chitra Markandeya, adv.  
  
Mr. K S Rana, adv.  
  
Mr. K C Kaushik, adv.  
Mr. D S Mehra, adv.  
  
Mr. D B Vohra, adv.

The Court made the following  
Order

.SP2

Leave granted.  
One of the questions canvassed in these  
appeals is whether interest can be charged on the  
.....2/-

solatium, i.e., the amount envisaged in Section 23(2) of the Land Acquisition Act. In the light of our decision in Sunder Vs. Union of India Civil Appeal@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

No. 6271/1998 and batch dated 19.9.2001 that contention is upheld.

For deciding other issues, if any, raised in these appeals, let these appeals be placed before an appropriate Bench for disposal.

.SP1

(Kanchan Jain) (Prem Prakash)  
AR-cum-PS Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

.PA

.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp  
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T....R  
.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. 19729 OF 1998@@  
CC

Bhola Nath Sharma (Dead) by .....Petitioners  
LRs

VS.

Union of India & Anr. ....Respondents

With  
SLP(C) Nos. 20018/98, 6791, 13787, 14061/99, 20996,  
21004, 21000, 20998, 21003, 19747, 19748/2000, and  
SLP(C) 705/2001

O R D E R@@  
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
.SP2

Leave granted.  
One of the questions canvassed in these appeals is whether interest can be charged on the solatium, i.e., the amount envisaged in Section 23(2) of the Land Acquisition Act. In the light of our decision in Sunder Vs. Union of India Civil Appeal@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

No. 6271/1998 and batch dated 19.9.2001 that contention is upheld.

2.

For deciding other issues, if any, raised in these appeals, let these appeals be placed before an appropriate Bench for disposal.

.SP1

.....CJI

.....J.  
(K. T. THOMAS)

.....J.  
(R. C. LAHOTI)

.....J.  
(N. SANTOSH HEGDE)

.....J.  
(S. N. VARIAVA)

New Delhi  
September 19, 2001.