

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.7200 OF 2012

UNION OF INDIA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

NAND LAL PRUTHI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant - Union of India and perused the record.

From the statement made by the brother of respondent No.1 in the Rajinder Nagar Police Station, New Delhi on 30th June, 2007, it appears that respondent No.1 is dead. This appeal has thus become infructuous. The same is, accordingly, disposed of.

Needless to mention that the appellant may apply for recalling of this order in the event it is found that respondent No.1 is still alive.

.....J.
(INDIRA BANERJEE)

.....J.
(SANJIV KHANNA)

New Delhi,
May 16, 2019

ITEM NO.105

COURT NO.4

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s).7200/2012

UNION OF INDIA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

NAND LAL PRUTHI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 16-05-2019 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDIRA BANERJEE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA
(VACATION BENCH)

For Appellant(s) Mr. R.Balasubramaniam, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anmol Chandan, Adv.
Mr. Bharat Singh, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is disposed of as having become
infructuous in terms of the signed order.

(SUKHBIR PAUL KAUR)
AR CUM PS

(RAJ RANI NEGI)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)