

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 9-12 in CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8274-8275 OF 2003

RAJU S. JETHMALANI AND ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(for modification and direction of the judgment and order dated 5.5.2005 and office report)

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION(C) NO.111/2006 IN CA 8274-8275/2003

(with appln(s) for exemption from filing OT and office report)

Date: 11/02/2008 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI

For Appellant(s) Mr. V.A.Mohta, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Makarand D.Adkar, Adv.

Mr. Braj K.Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.for

Mr. Vishwajit Singh,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. R.K. Adsure, Adv.for

Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair,Adv.

For Applicant in Mr. G.E.Vahanvati, SG

IA 11-12

Ms. J.S. Wad ,Adv.

Mr. Ashish Wad, Adv.

for Applicant in Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.

IA 9-10

Mr. N.R.Bhog, Adv.

Mr. Rajshekhar Rao, Adv.for

Ms. Naresh Bakshi ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Heard.

The Interlocutory Application Nos.9-12 are dismissed. However, the Planning Authority would be at liberty to issue a fresh notification to acquire the land which shall be subject to the objections which may be raised by the appellants. If the Planning

IA 9-12 in CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8274-8275 OF 2003

-2-

Authority issues a fresh notification and appellants file objections thereto, then objections shall be decided in accordance with law and without being influenced by any of the orders passed in the present proceedings.

Contempt Petition(C) No.111 of 2006

The Contempt Petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Kanwal Singh)
Court Master