

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Cr1.M.P. No.138/2001 In Criminal Appeal.No. 96/2001

AMRIT SINGH & ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF H.P.

Respondent (s)

( With Appln(s). for bail )  
( With Office Report )

Date : 04/04/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.T. THOMAS  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.C. BANERJEE

For Appellant (s) Mr. AS Rawat, Adv.  
for Mr. K.S. Rana,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Anil Soni, Adv.  
Ms. Meenakshi Arora,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
.SP2

Learned counsel for the State of Himachal Pradesh invited our attention to the operative portion of the sessions court's judgment for showing that the trial court actually awarded rigorous imprisonment for five years under Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code besides a fine of Rs.1000/- each. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that what is read out from the session court's judgment can be a mistake either  
..2/

typographical or otherwise because the High Court had noted that as rigorous imprisonment for two years. Learned counsel for the petitioners offered to produce necessary documents to convince that the error has crept in the judgment of the session court and not in the High Court.

List after two weeks.

.SP1

Hemalatha

(HK Bhatia)  
Court Master