

ITEM NO.46

COURT NO.9

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E                      C O U R T   O F   I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).17295/2010  
(From the judgement and order dated 25/03/2010 in RSA No.5030/2009  
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

RAM CHANDER

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR.  
(With office report )

Respondent(s)

Date: 06/01/2012                      This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Rishi Malhotra, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Manjit Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Tarjit Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

We are of the view that to deal with a case like

this what is required is a human approach. The  
petitioner is a retired judicial officer and there is  
no dispute that he has incurred an expenditure of  
Rs.58,467/- for treatment of his eyes and claimed  
reimbursement of the same but the State has sanctioned  
the petitioner only an amount of Rs.1,500/-. In view

2

of the facts and circumstances of the case, we direct

the learned counsel for the State to ensure that  
proper reimbursement is made of the actual expenses  
incurred by the petitioner. The said reimbursement

should be made within a period of four weeks from

today. However, we make it clear that while passing

this order this Court is not binding anyone under the

rules and the order is made keeping in view the facts

of the case giving a humanitarian approach and without  
creating a precedent.

The special leave petition is accordingly  
disposed of.

(G. SUDHAKARA RAO)  
COURT MASTER

(VINOD KULVI)  
COURT MASTER