

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 525 OF 2005

HARPREET SINGH AND ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR. Respondent(s)

(With office report) (For final disposal)

WITH Civil Appeal NO. 1216 of 2008

(With appln(s) for permission to place addl. documents on record and permission to file addl. counter affidavit, directions, prayer for interim relief and office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 1217 of 2008

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 1218 of 2008

(With appln(s) for brining on record, impleading party, impleadment and office report) (For final disposal)

Date: 21/08/2013 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Varun Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Saurabh Aja Gupta, AAG
Mr. Sanchar Anand, AAG

C.A. 1218/2008 Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Adv.
Mr. Subhasish B., Adv.

Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.

Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv

Mr. Ashok K. Mahajan, Adv.

For Applicants Mr. Saurabh Garg, Adv.

R.4 Mr. Mahendra R. Anand, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Aditya Kumar Choudhary, Adv.
Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

W.P.(C) No. 525/2005

Writ petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

List tomorrow (22.08.2013) as a first case.

(NAVEEN KUMAR)
COURT MASTER

(S.S.R. KRISHNA)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 525 OF 2005

HARPREET SINGH AND ORS.

Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

Respondents

O R D E R

We see no reason to entertain this writ petition as the petitioners' appeal against the judgment and order of the High Court is already pending. However, counsel for the petitioners submitted that the validity of the Rules has been challenged in this Writ Petition. Even if that be correct, the petitioners are free to challenge the same before the High Court and there is no reason as to why the Rules be allowed to be challenged straightaway by way of a writ petition under Article 32 of the Constitution of India.

The Writ Petition is, therefore, dismissed with liberty to the petitioners to approach the High Court.

.....J.
(GYAN SUDHA MISRA)

.....J.
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

NEW DELHI
AUGUST 21, 2013