

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 597 OF 2005

SIMA DAS & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for intervention and with office report)

Date: 11/08/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Jayshree Satpute, Adv.
Ms.Jyoti Mendiratta,Adv.

Applicant In Person (not present)

For Respondent(s) Mr. S. Wasim a. Qadri, Adv.
Ms. Saima Bakshi, Adv.
Mr. Minnatullah, Adv.
Mr. Raj Kumar Tanwar, Adv.
Mr. Shalinder Saini, Adv.
Ms. Rashmi Malhotra, Adv.
Mr. A.K. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The writ petition is dismissed.

In view of the dismissal of the writ petition, no
orders need be passed on the applications for intervention.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 597 OF 2005

SIMA DAS & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

This writ petition has been filed under Article 32 of

the Constitution of India.

Since, the petitioners are having an alternative remedy to approach the concerned High Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, we are not inclined to entertain this writ petition under Article 32 of the Constitution of India. The writ petition is dismissed accordingly.

In view of the dismissal of the writ petition, no orders need be passed on the applications for intervention.

.....J.
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

.....J.
(T.S. THAKUR)

NEW DELHI
AUGUST 11, 2010.