

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MRS. RACHNA GUPTA

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).
20553-20554/2013

PANKJESHWAR SHARMA & ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF J&K & ORS. Respondent(s)
(with appln. (s) for permission to bring additional facts and
documents on record and interim relief and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 21192-21198/2013
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 21645-21651/2013
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 22708-22709/2013
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 23227/2013
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 25906-25907/2013
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 25929/2013
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 27983-27984/2013
(With appln.(s) for permission to file additional documents and
Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 29765/2013
(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 29803/2013
(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 1621-1622/2014
Signature Not Verified

(With Office Report)

Digitally signed by
Rupam Dhamija
Date: 2015.03.25
17:40:50 IST
Reason:

Date : 19/03/2015 These petitions were called on for hearing today.
Item No.33 -2-

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Adv.
Mr. Mishra Saurabh, Adv.

Mr. Sumit Singh, Adv.
Mr. S. Ram Subramanian, Adv.
Mr. P. D. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Pratim Pathak, Adv.
Ms. Renu Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Diksha Rai, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.
Mr. K. V. Sreekumar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C) No.20553-20554/2013

The Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has mentioned that defects in the fresh application for substituted service have been cured. Registry to verify and to get the notice to be served accordingly.

SLP(C) No. 21192-21198/2013

Both the applications i.e., one for substituted service of the respondents and another for deletion of respondent Nos.48, 51, 61 and 62 from the array of the parties are found defective. Petitioner to cure the defects.

Petitioner also to take fresh steps to effect service on the unserved respondent Nos.7 to 9, 11, 13 to 15, 17 to 20, 27 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 38, 40, 41, 43 to 52, 56, 59, 61, 62, 68, 72, 73 and 76 to 79.

SLP(C) No. 21645-21651/2013

Fresh address with respect to respondent Nos.14 and 25 has yet to be furnished. Be furnished within two weeks' time.
Item No.33 -3-

Notice thereafter be accordingly issued. The application for substituted service in this petition also is defective. Defects be cured at the earliest. The Ld. Counsel has mentioned that fresh application for substituted service including even the names of respondent nos.14 and 25 has been filed two days before. Registry to verify and to process accordingly.

SLP(C) No. 22708-22709/2013

affidavit of dasti service with respect to respondent No.4
has not been filed. The Ld. Counsel has mentioned that notice
could not have been served. It is requested that dasti again
be issued and allowed to be served through the Trial Court
concerned. Request accepted. Notice be accordingly handed
over. Be served and the proof thereof be filed. However,
with respect to respondent Nos.5 and 6, petitioner still has
to take fresh steps to effect the service.

SLP(C) No. 23227/2013

Respondent Nos.1 to 3 have not filed the counter
affidavit, despite last opportunity given for the purpose.
Further opportunity is declined.

SLP(C) No. 25906-25907/2013

The Ld. Counsel for the petitioner to take fresh steps to
effect service on respondent No.5. Two weeks' time, as a
special chance, is again afforded.

Service with respect to respondent No.4 is complete but
none has entered appearance.
Item No.33 -4-

SLP(C) No. 25929/2013

Spare copies of the petition for issuing notice have ben
filed. Delay of 32 days is hereby condoned.

SLP(C) No. 27983-27984/2013

Respondent Nos.1 to 3 have failed to file counter
affidavit, despite warning of last opportunity. Further
opportunity is, therefore, declined. Complete status of these
petitions be verified by the Registry.

SLP(C) No. 29765/2013

Fresh application for substituted service though has been
filed but the same is also found defective. The ld. Counsel
to cure the defects at the earliest.

Counter affidavit of all the respondents in I.A. No.2, the
application for impleadment of applicant as petitioner and of
respondent Nos.1 to 3 has not so far been filed.

A perusal

shows that the time fixed for the purpose has already expired.

The warning was also served on these respondents.

Further

opportunity is declined.

SLP(C) No. 29803/2013

Service with respect to respondent Nos.4 and 5 is complete but none has entered appearance. Opporutnity to file

counter affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 is hereby

declined.

Item No.33

-5-

SLP(C) No. 1621-1622/2014

The Id. Counsel for the petitioner has requested for two weeks' time to file application for substituted service with respect to respondent Nos.4 to 8. Allowed.

List again on 13.5.2015.

(RACHNA GUPTA)
Registrar