

ITEM NO.201

COURT NO.11

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).18184/2005

(From the judgement and order dated 11/08/2005 in CWP No. 5472/2005 of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

P. GAJAPATHI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

U.O.I. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for interim directions and prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 18567-18569 of 2005

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

Date: 10/05/2011 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY

For Petitioner(s) Mr.Ranjit Kumar,Sr.Adv.
SLP(C) Nos.18567-69 Ms.Srikala Gurukrishna Kumar,Adv.
Of 2005 Ms.Mamta Chand,Adv.
Mr.Akshat Hansaria,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.K.K.Venugopal,Sr.Adv.
Mr.K.V.Viswanathan,Sr.Adv.
Mr.Sanjeev K.Kapoor,Adv.
Mr.Kumar Mihir,Adv.
M/S. Khaitan & Co.,Adv.

Mr.T.S.Doabia,Sr.Adv.
Ms.Kiran Bhardwaj,Adv.
Mr.Saurabh Ajay Gupta,Adv.

2

Mr. R. Nedumaran ,Adv

Mr. T. Harish Kumar ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C) No.18184 of 2005

Mr.R.Nedumaran, learned counsel who was representing the petitioner in SLP(C) No.18184 of 2005 states that he has returned the brief to the petitioner and, therefore, his name may be deleted from the list of counsel and he may be permitted to withdraw from this case. The request of learned counsel is accepted.

SLP(C) Nos. 18567-18569 of 2005

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, learned senior counsel concluded his submissions. Mr. T.S.Doabia, learned senior counsel appearing for the Union of India also made his submissions. Mr. Harish Kumar learned counsel for the State of Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board made some arguments. Put up tomorrow (11.5.2011) for completion of the arguments of Mr.Harish Kumar.

While adjourning the case, we deem it proper to require Mr. Harish Kumar to ascertain from the State Government whether any action was taken in furtherance of the direction given by the Government of India, Ministry of Environment and Forests, which find reference in paragraph 5 of the counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent no.1 in the High Court. Mr. Harish Kumar should also find out whether the announcement made by the then Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu for payment of ex-gratia of Rs.50,000/- to the families of the deceased workers was translated in to reality.

(KUSUM SYAL)
SR. P.A.

(PHOOLAN WATI ARORA)
COURT MASTER