



Mr. Narendra Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. V. Balaji, Adv.  
Mr. Asaithambi MSM, Adv.  
Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, AOR

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following**

**O R D E R**

1. Against an order rejecting the regular bail by the High Court and to seek bail, the present special leave petition has been filed. The petitioner is in custody w.e.f. 03.04.2024 in connection with FIR No.50 of 2024 dated 18.02.2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 498-A and 304-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 3 and 4 of the Dory Prohibition Act, 1961 registered with Police Station Transport Nagar, District Meerut, Uttar Pradesh.
2. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, we find that the custody period of the petitioner is more than two years. As

contended, the petitioner went for attending the UP Police Constable Examination at the time of incident and he was not present. The said fact has been controverted by the other side, *inter alia*, stating that the distance from the place of incident is not much far. By this time out of 49 witnesses, only one witness is going on. At this stage, learned counsel for the complainant submits that the petitioner himself is not cooperating for cross-examination of the witness. However, considering all the attending circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we are primarily of the view that the trial may take some time and looking to the custody period, we deem it appropriate to release the petitioner on bail.

3. Accordingly, we direct that the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing the suitable bail bonds and sureties and on such other terms and conditions as may be deemed fit by the trial Court.

4. Petitioner to abide all the conditions as imposed and shall regularly attend the trial until exempted by the Court. Further, he shall not indulge in any other criminal case and shall not intimidate any of the witness. He shall appear on all the dates before the trial court until leave is granted. Violation, if any, may give a cause to take recourse as permissible and the trial Court is at liberty to do the needful.

5. Accordingly and in view of the foregoing, the special leave petition stands disposed of.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(GULSHAN KUMAR ARORA)**  
**DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

**(NAND KISHOR)**  
**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**