

**SECTION-III-A  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
ORIGINAL JURISDICTION**

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 8485, 8486, 8487, 8488, 8491-8494, 8495, 8496-8501 & 8502 OF  
2011 AND 8617 & 10374-10379 OF 2014**

**M/S. SHANTI FRAGRANCES**

**...APPELLANT**

**VERSUS**

**UNION OF INDIA & ORS.**

**...RESPONDENTS**

**OFFICE REPORT ON PRE-FINAL HEARING MATTER**

The office report is prepared as per circular No. F. 41/Jul./2008 dated 17<sup>th</sup> December, 2008.

**CA NO. 8485 OF 2011**

- (A) There are four Respondents and all are represented through Counsel in the matter above mentioned.
- (B) Not applicable.
- (C) Not applicable.
- (D) No application for substitution is pending.
- (E) Counsel for both the parties have filed Statement of Case.
- (F) Not applicable.

**CA NOS. 8486, 8487 & 8488 OF 2011**

- (A) There are three Respondents and all are represented through Counsel in the matters above mentioned.
- (B) Not applicable.
- (C) Not applicable.
- (D) No application for substitution is pending.
- (E) Counsel for both the parties have filed Statement of Case in C.A. No. 8487 of 2011.
- (F) Counsel for the Appellant has filed Statement of Case but Counsel for Respondent has not filed Statement of Case in C.A. Nos. 8486 & 8488 of 2011 within stipulated time so far in the matters. As per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that Counsel for the Respondent does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.
- (G) Not applicable.

**CA NOS. 8491-94 & 8496-8501 OF 2011**

(A) There are five Respondents in both the matters. Respondent Nos. 1-4 are represented through Counsel in both the matters above mentioned. Notice of Respondent No. 5 is served through High Court but no one has entered appearance on behalf of him in CA No. 8491-8494 of 2011 and in CA No. 8496-8501 of 2011 Respondent No. 5 is served at SLP stage but no one has entered appearance on behalf of him.

(B) Not applicable.

(C) Not applicable.

(D) No application for substitution is pending.

(E) Counsel for both the parties have filed Statement of Case in C.A. No. 8491-94 of 2011.

(F) Counsel for the Appellant has filed Statement of Case but Counsel for Respondent has not filed Statement of Case in C.A. Nos. 8496-8501 of 2011 within stipulated time so far in the matter. As per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that Counsel for the Respondent does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.

(G) Not applicable.

**CA NO. 8495 OF 2011**

(A) There are three Respondents and all are represented through Counsel in the matters above mentioned.

(B) Not applicable.

(C) Not applicable.

(D) No application for substitution is pending.

(E) Counsel for the Appellant as well as Counsel for Respondent has not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far, in the matters. As per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that both parties does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.

(F) Not applicable.

**CA NO. 8502 OF 2011**

- (A) There are three Respondents. Respondent Nos. 1-2 are represented through Counsel and Notice of Respondent No. 3 is served through High Court but no one has entered appearance on behalf of them.
- (B) Not applicable.
- (C) Not applicable.
- (D) No application for substitution is pending.
- (E) Counsel for the Appellant as well as Counsel for Respondent has not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far, in the matters. As per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that both parties does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.
- (F) Not applicable

**CA NOS. 8617 & 10374-10379 OF 2014**

- (A) There is sole Respondent and is represented through Counsel.
- (B) Not applicable.
- (C) Not applicable.
- (D) No application for substitution is pending.
- (E) Counsel for the Appellant as well as Counsel for Respondent has not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far, in the matters. As per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that both parties does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.
- (F) Not applicable.

The office report is listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court for orders.

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2015.

**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

COPY TO:-

Mr. Praveen Kumar, Advocate  
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Advocate  
Mr. B. K. Prasad, Advocate  
Ms. Prabha Swami, Advocate  
Mr. R. Nedumaran, Advocate  
Mr. G. Prakash, Advocate

**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**