

\214
C.A.No. 7118 OF 2000

ITEM No.20

Court No. 3

SECTION XIA
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No.7118/2000

C. RADHA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

UNION BANK OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(Prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date : 29/10/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. KIRPAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

For Appellant (s) Mr. P. Krishnamurthy, Sr. Adv.
Mr. M.T. George, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. O.P. Gaggar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

.SP2

The appeal is dismissed.

.SP1

Kalyani. (S.L. GOYAL) @@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
COURT MASTER @@
A AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

(Signed Order is placed on the file.)

.PL60

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7118 OF 2000@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

C. Radha Appellant

Versus

Union Bank of India & Ors. Respondents

O R D E R@@
EEEEEEEEEE

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

.SP2

After hearing the counsel for the parties, we see no reason to interfere with the order of the High Court, especially in view of the fact that the appellant is a partner of the firm against whom a decree has been passed and the property in question in any case can be attached and sold for the satisfaction of the decree. We need not go into the question whether there was a charge or not. The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

.SP1

.....J
(B.N. KIRPAL)

.....J
(ASHOK BHAN)~

New Delhi,
October 29, 2001.