

ITEM NO.114

COURT NO. 8

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 471 OF 2003

CENTRAL BANK RETIREES' ASSOCH. AND ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission and office report)

(With IA No. 1 - Appln. for permission to file rejoinder affidavit)

Date: 01/09/2005 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Manoj Swarup, Adv.

Mr. Uday Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Syed A. Haseeb, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Nos. 3, 4 and 5 Ms. Meera Mathur, Adv.

Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.

Mr. Amit Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Nos. 6, 7

Mr. Anurag Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Bhatt, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The writ petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

wal)

(Ganga Thakur)

(Vijay Aggar

PS to Registrar

Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

2

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 471 OF 2003

Central Bank Retirees' Association
...Petitioners

& Ors.

Versus

Union of India & Ors.
...Respondent

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

We are of the considered view that this is not a fit
case to entertain the

petition under Article 32 of the Constitution of India. The proper course for the

petitioners would be to move the High Court. To avoid unnecessary delay, we send the

writ petition filed in this Court to the Delhi High Court to be registered as petition

under Article 226 of the Constitution. Since the dispute relates to claim of pension, it

would be proper if the matter is taken up for early disposal. We request the High

Court to dispose of the matter as early as possible, preferably within twelve months

from today.

The Writ Petition is dismissed with the aforesaid observation.

.....J.

(ARIJIT PASAYAT)

3

.....J.

(H.K. SEMA)

New Delhi,

September 1, 2005.