

ö  
SLP(C)No. 20888-20918 OF 2000

.PL70  
ITEM No.29

Court No.11

SECTION XIIA  
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.20888-20918/2000

(From the judgement and order dated 06/11/1998 in AN 590, 591, 588, 589, 583, 584, 579, 587, 586, 581, 585, 912, 580, 582, 1214, 571, 264, 750, 622, 612, 609, 608, 621, 619, 752, 623, 1014, 606, 202, 607 and 753 of 1998 of The HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDERABAD)

L.A.OFFICER & SPL. DEPUTY COLLECTOR,A.P.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

KONDAPALLI APPALARAJU (D) BY LRS. & ORS

Respondent (s)

( With Appln(s). for c/delay in filing SLP and stay of contempt proceedings and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

With

SLP(C)No.1082-1091/2001

(With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

SLP(C)No.20883-20886/2000

(With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and stay of execution proceedings and with prayer for interim relief)

SLP(C)...CC 8782-8785/2000 (With office report)

Date : 11/11/2002 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. VARIAVA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

For Petitioner (s) Ms. K.Amareswari, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Guntur Prabhakar,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. P.S. Narasimha, Adv.  
Mr. Ananga Bhattacharya, Adv.  
Mr. Sridhar P., Adv.  
Mr. V.G. Pragasam,Adv.

Mr. B. Partha Sarthy, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
.SP2

There is an exorbitant delay of 537, 593, 570 and 595 days respectively in filing the S.L.Ps. The explanation is far from satisfactory. There being no sufficient cause to condone the delay, we dismiss the applications for condonation of delay. Consequently, the special leave petitions are dismissed.

.SP1

Anita

(Jasbir Singh)  
Court Master