

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 280-283 OF 2004

BHOLA YADAV @ VIJAY YADAV & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF BIHAR

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 21/11/2006 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.P. NAOLEKAR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Naresh Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

Mr. Nishakant Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Narender Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The Appeals are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Khushi Ram)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 280-283 OF 2004

BHOLA YADAV @ VIJAY YADAV & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF BIHAR

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard the parties.

The appellants were convicted by the trial court under Section 376(2)

(g) of the Indian Penal Code (hereinafter referred to as "IPC") and sentenced

to undergo imprisonment for life. They were further convicted under Section

450 read with Section 34 IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous

imprisonment for a period of five years. The appellants were also convicted

under Section 3(xi) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes
(Prevention of Atrocities) Act and sentenced to undergo rigorous
imprisonment for a period of five years. All the sentences, however,
were
ordered to run concurrently. On appeals being preferred, the High C
ourt
confirmed the convictions and sentences. Hence, these Appeals by special
leave.

Having heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
appellants and the State and perused the records, we are of the view that the
trial court and the High Court have recorded convictions of the appel
lants
after detailed discussion of evidence and no case for interference by
this
Court is made out.

The Appeals, accordingly, fail and the same are dismissed.

.....J.
.....

(B.N. AGRAWAL)

.....J.
.....

(P.P. NAOLEKAR)

NEW DELHI ;

NOVEMBER 21, 2006.

<ITEM NO.103

COURT NO.3

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 280-283 OF 2004

BHOLA YADAV @ VIJAY YADAV & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF BIHAR

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 21/11/2006 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.P. NAOLEKAR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Naresh Kumar,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Gopal Singh,Adv.

Mr. Nishakant Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Narender Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The Appeals are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Rajesh Dham)

(Khushi Ram)

Court Master

Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 280-283 OF 2004

BHOLA YADAV @ VIJAY YADAV & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF BIHAR

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard the parties.

The appellants were convicted by the trial court under Section 376(2)

(g) of the Indian Penal Code (hereinafter referred to as "IPC") and sentenced

to undergo imprisonment for life. They were further convicted under Section

450 read with Section 34 IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of five years. The appellants were also convicted under Section 3(xi) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of five years. All the sentences, however, were ordered to run concurrently. On appeals being preferred, the High Court confirmed the convictions and sentences. Hence, these Appeals by special leave.

Having heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellants and the State and perused the records, we are of the view that the trial court and the High Court have recorded convictions of the appellants after detailed discussion of evidence and no case for interference by this Court is made out.

The Appeals, accordingly, fail and the same are dismissed.

.....J.

.....
(B.N. AGRAWAL)

.....J.

.....

(P.P. NAOLEKAR)

NEW DELHI ;

NOVEMBER 21, 2006.