

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.2655/2000

(From the judgement and order dated 18/08/1999 in SA 66/96  
of The HIGH COURT OF U.P AT LUCKNOW)

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

U.P.JAN.SWASTHAYA MAHILA K.SANGH  
(With prayer for interim relief)  
( With Appln(s). for c/delay in filing SLP and exemption from filing  
O.T. ) ( For Final Disposal )

Respondent (s)

Date : 26/04/2002 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

For Petitioner (s) Mr. N.C. Kochhar, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. R.C. Verma, Adv.  
Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mrs. Shobha Dikshit, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Pradeep Misra, Adv.  
Ms. Indu Misra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J  
.SP2

An order was made on 3.10.1994 by the High Court directing the State of U.P. & Ors.- petitioners herein to dispose of the representation filed by the members of the Uttar Pradesh Jana Swasthya Mahila Karmchari Sangh. However, that representation not having been disposed of, the High Court again made an order on 31.8.1995 disposing of another Writ petition. However, on this occasion a conditional order was passed that if the ..2/-

: 2 :

representation is not disposed of within a period of one month from the date of the judgment, respondents -herein will be accorded the pay scale of Rs.2000-3200/- as per Government order dated 22nd May, 1993.

The grievance made in this petition is that there

were various factors to be considered and decide the matter and that is how delay has occurred in taking a decision in the matter. In the circumstances, we think it appropriate to direct the petitioners to dispose of the representation made by the respondent within a period of two months from today and make a report to this Court. Call after two months.

.SP1

(Meenu Sethi)  
Court Master

(Om Prakash)  
Court Master