

ITEM NO.42

COURT NO.11

SECTION XVII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Original Suit (s). No(s). 1/2005

STATE OF JHARKHAND & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 5/2015 - AMENDMENT IN CAUSE TITLE

IA No. 4/2014 - AMENDMENT IN CAUSE TITLE

Date : 15-04-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Kumar Anurag Singh, Adv.
Mr. Anando Mukherjee, AOR
Mr. Shwetank Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. K M Nataraj, A.S.G.(NP)
Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR
Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Vinayak Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Satvika Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Digvijay Dam, Adv.

Mr. Manish Kumar, AOR
Mr. Chandan Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Navin Prakash, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Minutes of the meeting held on 11.03.2025 to discuss the issues raised in the Original Suit No.1/2005; State of Jharkhand & Anr. vs. Union of India & Ors. is brought to our notice. Relevant portion of the minutes are as under:

"4. The following issues of the report dated 05.09.2018 were discussed in the meeting:

I. Division of Current Assets and Current

Liabilities as per MoP orders dated 04.11.2004

ii. Authenticity of BSEB's Accounts 2000-01

iii. Division of Assets and Liabilities of Tenughat Vidyut Nigam Limited (TVNL) Division

iv. Calculation of Power Consumption Ratio

v. Division of Staff-Related Liabilities

5. The representatives of Bihar and Jharkhand agreed that the issues at point no. (ii) and (iii) have been resolved and assured that they will meet and discuss the Issues at point no. (1) and (v) and try to resolve them. With respect to point no. (iv), the representative from Bihar expressed their reservations and stated that no further discussions should be pursued on this matter. The representative from Jharkhand stated that discussions be undertaken on the issues outlined in point nos. (i), (iv), and (v). It was suggested that Hon'ble Court may be requested to grant some time so that officials concerned of both the States can deliberate and try to resolve the contentious issues.

6. After detailed deliberations, it was agreed that both States would discuss further and try to resolve the pending issues. It was also agreed that the next meeting would be convened after a month. Accordingly, an affidavit may be filed before Supreme Court mentioning that both states have agreed to deliberate and try to settle the pending issues."

2. In view of the above, list in the month of August, 2025.

(INDU MARWAH)
AR-cum-PS

(NIDHI WASON)
COURT MASTER (NSH)