

P
ITEM NO.8

COURT.3

SECTION IIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. No. 2/2013 in CIVIL APPEAL NO. 790 OF 2013

COMMR. OF INCOME TAX AND ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

SRI BILAGU CHANDRASHEKAR

Respondent(s)/
Applicant(s)

(for appropriate orders/directions and office report)

Date: 12/08/2013 This IA was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR

For Appellant(s) Mr. Arijit Prasad, Adv.
Mr. D.L. Chidananda, Adv.
Ms. N. Annapoorni, Adv.
Mrs Anil Katiyar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ambhoj Kumar Sinha, Adv.
Ms. Sayaree Basu Mallik, Adv.
Mr. Nageswar Rao, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the Revenue submits that the applicant-respondent (assessee) is not correct in saying that the controversy raised in this Appeal is concluded by decision of this Court in "Guffic Chem P. Ltd. Vs. Commissioner of Income Tax", [2011] 332 ITR 602 (SC).

Having regard to the above, let Interlocutory Application No. 2 of 2013 come up for consideration along with the Civil Appeal.

Hearing of Civil Appeal is expedited.

|(Rajesh Dham)
|Court Master

|(Renu Diwan)
|Court Master

|