

**SECTION XII**  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3149 OF 2009**

**WITH**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NOS. 253 OF 2011 AND 403 OF 2012**

K. Viswanathan & Ors. ...Appellants

versus

Govt. of India & Ors. ...Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT**

The matter above mentioned was listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court on 13th April, 2016, when the following order was passed:-

"None appears for respondent nos. 2,4,7,8 and 11 despite due service.

Respondent nos. 13 to 20 have already been deleted from the array of parties.

Await certificate of service from the High Court in respect of respondent nos. 3 and 9. Reminder be issued.

Ld. Counsel, Ms Reena Pandey appearing on behalf of Mr D.S. Mahra, Advocate-on-record undertakes to file vakalatnama and counter affidavit on behalf of respondent no.1. Be filed within four weeks time.

List again on 28.7.2016."

It is submitted that pursuant to above quoted order, a reminder to the High Court was issued and Certificate of service in respect of respondent Nos. 3 and 9 have been received from the High Court.

It is further submitted that there are twenty respondents in the matter. Names of respondent Nos. 13 to 20 has been deleted. Ms. Anu Gupta, Advocate has filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of respondent Nos. 5, 6, 10 and 12 and Certificate of service has been received from respondent Nos. 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9 and 11.

It is also submitted that Mr. D.S. Mahra, Advocate has not filed vakalatnama/appearance and counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No. 1 despite undertaking. However, Ms. Madhu Sikri, Advocate has earlier filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of respondent No. 1.

Contempt Petition(C) Nos. 403 of 2012 and 253 of 2011 shall be considered alongwith Civil Appeal as per Court's Order dated 18th November, 2013.

It is further submitted that counsel for the parties have not filed statement of cases and in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where the parties do not file statement of cases within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the parties do not desire to file statement of cases and they have adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of cases.

Service is complete.

The matter above mentioned is listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court with this office report.

Dated this, the 27<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO:

Ms. Mahalakshmi Pavani, Advocate  
Mr. A.K. Sharma, Advocate  
Ms. Anu Gupta, Advocate  
Mr. G. Balaji, Advocate for Appellant in IAS  
Mr. Prakash K. Singh, Advocate  
Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate  
Mr. Arjun Garg, Advocate in Contempt Petition  
Ms. Rani Chabara, Advocate in Contempt Petition  
Ms. Madhu Sikri, Advocate  
Mr. Rajnish Prasad, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ac