

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL No. 1072 OF 2009

HUSSAIN ALI ... Appellant(s)

Versus

ARJID ALI & ORS. ... Respondent(s)

O R D E R

No one appears on behalf of the appellant even on second call.

We have heard learned counsel for the respondents and perused the impugned judgment.

We do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order.

The criminal appeal is accordingly dismissed.

.....J.
(N.V.RAMANA)

.....J.
(PRAFULLA C.PANT)

New Delhi,
Dated: 11th July, 2017.

ITEM NO.109

COURT NO.10

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 1072/2009

HUSSAIN ALI

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

ARJID ALI . & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 11-07-2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAFULLA C. PANT

For Appellant(s) Mr. Shakeel Ahmed, AOR (NP)

For Respondent(s) Mr. Azim H.Laskar, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Das, Adv.
Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, AORMr. Debojit Borkakati, Adv.
Mr. M.Balashovudu, Adv.
Mr Shuvodeep Roy, AORUPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E RThe criminal appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed
order.(SHASHI SAREEN)
AR CUM PS

(Signed order is placed on the file)

(S. SIVARAMAKRISHNA)
ASST.REGISTRAR