

ITEM NO.28

COURT NO.2

SECTION XV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).17701/2012
(From the judgement and order dated 17/05/2012 in DBCWP No.6039/2011
of The HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN AT JAIPUR)

JAL MAHAL RESORTS P.LTD.
VERSUS

Petitioner(s)

K.P.SHARMA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.,permission to file
synopsis and list of dates,permission to file additional documents
and prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 25/05/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA
(VACATION BENCH)

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Gopal Subramonium, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Vivek Tankha, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Mahesh Aggarwal, Adv.
Ms. Radhika Gautam, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Jain, Adv.
Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Adv.
Mr. Rishab Sancheti, Adv.
Mr. Varun K. Chopra, Adv.
Mr. Vaibhav Srivastava, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

For State of Rajasthan Mr. Soli Sorabjee, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Parag P. Tripathi, Sr. Adv.
Dr. Manish Singhvi, Addl.Adv.Genl.
Mr. Anuj Bhandari, Adv.
Ms. Mahima Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Irshad Ahmad, A.O.R.

For Writ Petnr. Mr. Mahabir Singh, Sr. Adv.
Before High Court Mr. P.S. Narsimha, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rakesh Dahiya, Adv.
Mr. A.K. Jain, Adv.
Mr. S.S. Shamshery, Adv.
Mr. Bhakti Vardhan, Adv.

For Respondent No.1 Mr. Pramod Swarup, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Manish Raghvan, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Choudhary, Adv.

2

Mr. Nikhil Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.

Issue notice.

Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, learned counsel for
respondent No.1 and Mr. Irshad Ahmad, learned counsel

for respondent Nos. 2, 3 & 10 accepts notice. Hence, no notice be sent to these respondents but copies of S.L.P. together with annexures be supplied to their respective counsel within one week from today.

They may file their counter affidavits within a period of four weeks.

Rejoinder, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

Notice be issued to other respondents.

In the meanwhile, operation of the impugned judgment shall be kept in abeyance.

However, we clarify that the undertaking given by the petitioner herein in the High Court would continue, meaning thereby that no fresh construction would be made except for carrying out renovation and restoration of the monument.

(G. SUDHAKARA RAO)
COURT MASTER

(S.S.R. KRISHNA)
COURT MASTER