

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).19239/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 17/05/2012 in DBCWP  
No.4860/2010 of The HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN AT JAIPUR)

JAL MAHAL RESORTS PVT.LTD. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HERITAGE PRESERVATION SOCIETY, RAJ.&ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES and with  
prayer for interim relief)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 19240 of 2012

(With appln(s) for PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES and with  
prayer for interim relief)

Date: 06/07/2012 These Petitions were taken up on mentioning today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Vivek Tankha, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Rishi Agrawala, Adv.  
Mr. Ankur Saigal, Adv.  
Mr. Arvind Jain, Adv.  
Ms. Malvika Lal, Adv.  
Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. P. Narsimha, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Rakesh Dahiya, Adv.  
Mr. S. S. Shamsheri, Adv.  
  
Dr. Manish Singhvi, Addl.Adv.Gen. (Rajasthan)  
  
Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Manish Raghav, Adv.  
Mr. Nikhil Singh, Adv.

.....2/-

: 2 :

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Taken on board.

Issue notice.

Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, learned counsel, appears and  
accepts notice on behalf of Respondent No.1.

Dr. Manish Singhvi, Additional Advocate General,  
appears and accepts notice on behalf of State of Rajasthan.

Let the notice be issued to other Respondents.

Dasti, in addition.

In the meanwhile, operation of the impugned judgment shall be kept in abeyance.

However, we clarify that the undertaking given by the Petitioner herein in the High Court would continue, meaning thereby that no fresh construction would be made except for carrying out renovation and restoration of the monument/lake as well.

(Sanjay Kumar-II)  
Court Master

(S.S.R. Krishna)  
Court Master