

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3632/2012

JAI PRAKASH ASSOCIATES LTD.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

M.P.POORV KSHETRA VIDY.VIT.CO.LTD.& ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

Date : 22/07/2015 This appeal was mentioned today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Akshat Shrivastava, Adv.
Mr. Amalpushp Shroti, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Para 3 of the order dated 23rd April, 2015 passed in the Civil Appeal No.3632 of 2012 is corrected and it is recorded that the learned counsel for the respondents had appeared after the order was dictated and was accordingly heard. Para 3, therefore, would now be read as follows:

"3. Heard learned counsel for the respondents"

Office to make necessary correction.

[VINOD LAKHINA]
COURT MASTER[ASHA SONI]
COURT MASTER

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3632/2012

JAI PRAKASH ASSOCIATES LTD. . . . APPELLANT

VERSUS

M.P. POORV KSHETRA VIDY.VIT.CO.LTD.
& ORS. . . . RESPONDENTS

ORDER

Para 3 of the order dated 23rd April, 2015 passed in the Civil Appeal No.3632 of 2012 is corrected and it is recorded that the learned counsel for the respondents had appeared after the order was dictated and was accordingly heard.

Para 3, therefore, would now be read as follows:

"3. Heard learned counsel for the respondents"

Office to make necessary correction.

.....,J.
(RANJAN GOGOI)

.....,J.
(N.V. RAMANA)

NEW DELHI
JULY 22, 2015