

\214IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Criminal Appeal No(s). 289/2007

STATE OF H.P.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

JAI SINGH

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard Mr. Suryanarayana Singh, learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the appellant â- State of Himachal Pradesh.

It appears that despite sufficient opportunities granted to the appellant - State of Himachal Pradesh to serve the unserved sole respondent â- accused, the appellant â- State has not filed the report of service of notice on the accused so far, as is evident from the Office Report dated 4 th February, 2016.

In view of that, we dismiss the Criminal Appeal filed by the appellant â- State of Himachal Pradesh.

However, having regard to the facts and circumstances of this case, we are granting liberty to the appellant - State of H.P. to mention the matter before us or file an appropriate application for restoration of Criminal Appeal, in case service is effected on the sole respondent â- accused and he can be brought before this Court.

.....J
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

.....J
(AMITAVA ROY)

NEW DELHI;

12TH AUGUST, 2016.

ITEM NO.4

COURT NO.8

SECTION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s). 289/2007

STATE OF H.P.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

JAI SINGH

Respondent(s)

(Office Report for direction)

Date: 12/08/2016 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Suryanarayana Singh, Sr.Addl.AG, HP

Ms. Pragati Neekhara,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The Criminal Appeal filed by the appellant â- State of Himachal Pradesh is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(VISHAL ANAND)

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

(Signed Order is placed on the file)