

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 5226/2013

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 28/05/2013 in
CRLRP No. 349/2013 passed by the High Court Of Karnataka At
Bangalore)

DHANRAJ

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANTHOSHI LATHA DEVI Respondent(s)
(With appln. (s) for bail and stay and suspension of sentence and
office report)

WITH
SLP(Crl) No. 5251/2013 and suspension of sentence and stay
(With prayer for and bail and and Office Report)

SLP(Crl) No. 5290/2013 and bail
(With prayer for and suspension of sentence and stay and and Office Report)

Date : 11/07/2014 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shailesh Madiyal ,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. V. N. Raghupathy ,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsels for the parties and perused the
relevant material.

Leave granted.

The appeals are allowed in terms of the signed order.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Madhu Bala
Date: 2014.07.16
16:47:26 IST

(MADHU BALA)

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)

Reason:

COURT MASTER
(Signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

COURT MASTER

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1438 OF 2014
(@ SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRL.)NO. 5226 OF 2013)

WITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1439 OF 2014
(@ SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRL.)NO. 5251 OF 2013)

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1440 OF 2014
(@ SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRL.)NO. 5290 OF 2013)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsels for the parties and perused the relevant material.

Leave granted.

The challenge therein in respect of an order passed by the High court dismissing the Revision Petition filed by the appellant which was directed against an order of conviction under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instrucments Act. The principal ground on which the Revision Petition was dismissed by the High Court is that the appellant had failed to deposit 50% of the fine amount which was ordered by the High Court on 19.04.2013.

Pursuant to the order dated 16.07.2013 passed by this Court, the appellant has now deposited the 50% of the fine amount. Accordingly, the appellant is entitled to be heard ...2/-

-2-

on the merits of the Revision Petition filed by him. In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, we set aside the order of the High Court and request the High Court to decide the Revision Petition on merits at an early date.

The appeals are allowed accordingly.

Bail granted by this Court will continue till the disposal of the Revision Petition by the High Court.

.....J.
[RANJAN GOGOI]

NEW DELHI
11TH JULY, 2014

.....J.
[M.Y. EQBAL]