

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. NOS. 11-12, 13 AND I.A. NO. /2015
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7424 OF 2013

KARNAIL KAUR & ORS.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

WITH
SLP(C) NO. 5502 OF 2014

WITH
SLP(C) NO. 24365 OF 2014

R.P.(C) NO. 3344 OF 2015
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7424 OF 2013

R.P.(C) NO. 3345 OF 2015
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7426 OF 2013

I.A. NO. 20 & I.A. NO. OF 2015
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7426 OF 2013

O R D E R

Heard Mr. Shekhar Naphade, Mr.
Subramonium Prasad, Mr. Sukumar Patt Joshi and
Mr. Neeraj Jain, learned senior counsel appearing
on behalf of the applicants/review petitioners.

Signature Not Verified

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

Digitally signed by
Neeta Sapra
Date: 2015.12.17
12:51:25 IST
Reason:

Punjab Urban Development and Planning Authority,
Mohali made a categorical statement that the
2

earlier allotment letters issued to the
applicants/review petitioners were withdrawn when
the Contempt Petition was filed before the High

Court complaining the wilful disobedience of the interim order passed by the Punjab and Haryana High Court.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and on perusing the averments made both in the applications for recalling the judgment and in the review petitions to review the judgment and order dated 22.1.2015 passed by this Court, we observe that the review petitions are filed on the same grounds as were agitated in the recall applications. In our opinion, the applicants/review petitioners have no locus standi to file the same before this Court in the disposed of civil appeals. Hence the applications and the

Review Petition (C) Nos. 3344/2015 and 3345/2015 are dismissed as not maintainable in law. In fact the applicants/review petitioners have abused the process of this Court by filing such applications/review petitions which are not maintainable in law.

Another application (I.A. No. 13) for initiating appropriate proceedings against the appellants is also rejected in view of the rejection of the aforesaid application/review

3

petitions.

List the Special Leave Petition Nos. 5502/2014 and 24365/2014 before the regular Bench.

.....J.
[V. GOPALA GOWDA]

.....J.
[C. NAGAPPAN]

ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.10

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. Nos. 11-12, 13 & I.A. /2015 in Civil Appeal No(s).
7424/2013

KARNAIL KAUR & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS. Respondent(s)
(With appln. (s) for directions and impleadment as party
respondent and U/S 340 of C.P.C. for initiating appropriate
proceedings against the appellant and permission to file
additional documents and office report)

WITH
SLP(C) No. 5502/2014
(Office Report for Direction)

SLP(C) No. 24365/2014
(With Office Report)

R.P.(C) No. 3344/2015 In C.A. No. 7424/2013

R.P.(C) No. 3345/2015 In C.A. No. 7426/2013

I.A. No. 20 & I.A. No. /2015 in C.A. No. 7426/2013
(For directions and impleadment as party respondent and Office
Report)

Date : 11/12/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Appellant(s) Mr. Neeraj Jain, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Neelam Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Shekhar Naphade, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sukumar Patt Joshi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Pramod Ahuja, Adv.
Mrs. Pooja Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Ritesh Khatri, Adv.

Mr. V. Sushant Gupta, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

5

Mr. Akshat Goel, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Shikha Roy, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Adv.

Ms. C. K. Sucharita, Adv.
Ms. Kumud Lata Das, Adv.

Mr. Sanchar Anand, AAG

Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Applications and review petitions are dismissed in terms
of signed order.

List the special leave petition Nos. 5502 of 2014 and
24365 of 2014 before the regular Bench.

(Neeta)
Sr. P.A.

(Mala Kumari Sharma)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)