

ITEM NO.24

COURT NO.3

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).16374/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 04/03/2011 in AFO No. 1432/2001 & CA No. 1772/2010 of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

RAVI KIRAN AGGARWAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MATADIN KEJRIWAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment and prayer for interim relief and office report ))

Date: 11/07/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Atul Radadhaksha, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Fereshte D. Sethna, Adv.  
Ms. B. Vijayalakshmi Menon, Adv.  
Ms. Ekta Kapil, Adv.  
Mr. Kuber Dewan, Adv.  
Mr. Pratyush, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

As the challenge is to an order of an  
appellate Bench refusing to interfere with the  
rejection of an interim prayer by a learned  
Single Judge and as the appeal is still pending

in the High Court, we do not consider this a  
SLP(C)NO.16374/2011

2

fit case for interference. The Special Leave  
Petition is dismissed.

We note that while refusing to stay the  
order of the learned Single Judge, the Division  
Bench of the High Court has directed that the  
hearing of the appeal should be expedited and

listed the matter for disposal on 28.3.2011.

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that inspite of the said direction, the appeal was not listed and is not likely to be listed for quite some time and therefore the entire appeal is likely to become infructuous. We are sure that the High Court having noticed that the matter requires expeditious disposal will hear the matter and dispose of the appeal expeditiously preferably before the end of this year.

(O.P. Sharma)  
Court Master

( M.S. Negi )  
Court Master