

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO.4267 OF 2003

A.I.EMPS.SELF CONTRI.SUPER.PENSN.SCHEME

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

KURIAKOSE V. CHERIAN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for substitution, stay and office report)

With Civil Appeal No.2327 of 2004

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Civil Appeal No.7035 of 2003

(With office report)

Civil Appeal No.7036 of 2003

Civil Appeal No.9372 of 2003

(With appln(s) for directions and prayer for interim relief)

Date: 06/04/2005 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TARUN CHATTERJEE

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr. Adv.

In CA 4267/2003:

Mr. V.B. Joshi, Adv.

Mr. Ravi Kini, Adv.

In CA 7036/2003:

Mr. J.P. Cama, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Kailash Vasdev, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Navin Prakash,Adv.

Mr. Rituraj Biswas,Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh,Adv.

In CA 2327/2004:

Mr. C.A. Sundaram,Sr.Adv.

Mr. Tripurari Ray,Adv.

Mr. Vishwajit Singh,Adv.

In CA 9372/2003:

Mr. V.A. Bobde,Sr.Adv.

Mr. P. Rajagopal,Adv.

Ms. Rekha Rajagopal,Adv.

Mr. S.U.K. Sagar,Adv.

Ms. Bina Madhavan,Adv.

Ms. Susan Zachariah,Adv.

Mr. A. Vinayagam,Adv.

for M/s. Lawyer'S Knit & Co.Advs.

In CA 7035/2003:

Mr. S. Ravi Shankar,Adv.

...2/-

- 2 -

For Respondent(s)

Mr. J.P. Cama,Sr.Adv.

Mr. Kailash Vasdev,Sr.Adv.

Mr. Navin Prakash,Adv.

Mr. Rituraj Biswas,Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh,Adv.

Mr. A.V. Rangam,Adv.

Mr. A. Ranganadhan,Adv.

Mr. Buddy A. Ranganadhan,Adv.

Mr. Praveen Jain,Adv.

Mr. V.A. Bobde,Sr.Adv.

Mr. P. Rajagopal,Adv.

Ms. Rekha Rajagopal,Adv.

Mr. S.U.K. Sagar,Adv.

Ms. Bina Madhavan,Adv.

Ms. Susan Zachariah, Adv.

Mr. A. Vinayagam, Adv.

for M/s. Lawyer'S Knit & Co. Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Kumar, Adv.

Mr. S. Ravi Shankar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

in Civil
who were
ppellants
There is
and
mended

Mr. Gopal Singh, learned counsel for the appellant
Appeal No.7036 of 2003 states that Respondent Nos.18 to 22,
writ petitioners before the High Court, may be transposed as a
since now he has instructions to appear for them as well.
no
objection to this prayer. The prayer is, accordingly, allowed
Respondent Nos.18 to 22 are transposed as appellants. The a
memo will be filed within two days.

Respondent Nos.10 to 12 in Civil Appeal No.7036 of 2003 are
trustees and they are represented by Mr. V.B. Joshi, learned advocate.

deceased

As per Office Report, Legal Representative No.1 of
Respondent No.4 has not been served. Mr. Gopal Singh, learned advocate,
appears for him and states that Vakalatnama has already been filed.

Delay condoned in filing the application for substitution.

Application for substitution is allowed.

5 p.m.

Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, learned senior counsel for the appellant in
Civil Appeal No.4267 of 2003, commenced his arguments at 12.4
and concluded at 3.50 p.m.

The matters remained part-heard.

[T.I. Rajput]

Court Master

[Shelly Sengupta]

Assistant Registrar