

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).20393/2004

(From the judgement and order dated 25/05/2004 in FAO No. 130/2002 of The
HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

RAVI SINGHAL & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MANALI SINGHAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay,clarification/direction and prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 03/04/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.P. NAOLEKAR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Y.P. Narula, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Abhijeet Chatterjee, Adv.

Ms. Seema Bengani, Adv.

Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Arun Jaitley, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. D.N. Goburdhan,Adv.

Ms. Pinky Anand, Adv.

Mr. Surav Kirpal, Adv.

Ms. Geeta Luthra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard counsel on either side.

The counsel for the petitioner-husband submitted that his client has put forth a proposal and offered a flat measuring

....2/-

-2-

2622 sq.feet and that he has also given the valuation Report made by the Canara Bank.

The counsel for the respondent-wife and daughter submitted that the said proposal is not acceptable by his clients. However, he contends that they have to file their response to

these suggestions. In view of the serious differences between the parties regarding

accommodation, parties would also think of payment of any compensation by the husband

in lieu of the flat/house which is to be given by the husband as per the disputed

documents. The parties on either side expresses their opinion whether the matter could be

sent up for conciliation to some highly respectable arbitrators.

Adjourned by four weeks.

(R.K. DHAWAN)

COURT MASTER

(VEERA VERMA)

COURT MASTER